# St. Elizabeth Hospital Boardman Campus Inpatient Facility

Boardman, Ohio



# Josh Behun Structural Option

Technical Report #1 November 25, 2007

Faculty Consultant: Dr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.

# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	3
Introduction to Structural System	4
Codes	5
Material Strengths	6
Design Criteria	7
Seismic Analysis	8
Wind Analysis	9
Lateral Analysis Conclusions	10
Snow Loads	11
Appendix	12
A. Framing Plans	13
B. Building Weight	15
C. Seismic Analysis	17
D. Wind Analysis	20
E. Snow Loads	27
F. Spot Checks	29

# **Executive Summary**

The purpose of this report is to analyze the structural system used for the new Inpatient Facility addition as well as the original structure in place at the St. Elizabeth Boardman Campus Emergency and Diagnostic Center in Boardman, Ohio. The new building consists of a seven story tower addition plus an additional two story wing that was constructed adjacent to a previously two story facility. The analysis taken place in this report is comprised of the basic lateral forces that affect the building, including seismic and wind forces, as well as some of the gravity loads, such as overall building weight and snow loads, that may have a substantial influence on the lateral loading.



Plan View of Building Broken into Wings with New Tower Addition Highlighted

#### Conclusion

After evaluating the lateral forces that are applied to the building, it is apparent that the seismic loading will control the design of the building and its lateral bracing system. The results of the wind analysis were rather close to controlling the design, and provided a slight differential in the calculations they very well could have. However, the design engineer that did the original analysis for the building seems to have separated the building into three different parts, which yielded even larger seismic results, whereas for this calculation the building was considered as one uniform structure. Differing assumptions present differing results, though it seems that the seismic factors would most likely control the building's structural design.

# **Introduction to Structural System**

#### Foundation

The foundation for the St. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient Facility consists of 16" diameter auger cast grout injected piles with a capacity of 50 tons and an f'c of 4000 psi, including (4) #6 vertical bars for the top 20' of the piles and #3 ties spaced at 16" on center. The vertical reinforcement from each pile is to extend 18" into its corresponding pile cap or grade beam with a 90° hook of 2'-0" in length. Several of the column piers will be constructed on existing footings, subsequent reinforcement bars are to be drilled and grouted into the existing footing with Hilti epoxy adhesives, providing a minimum embedment of 8".

#### **Super Structure**

The framing for the structural system consists by in large of wide flange structural steel members. The typical column size for the building is within the range of W12x40 to W12x136, while there are a minimal number of W10 and W14 columns throughout the atypical areas of the new addition. The girders for the building are on average W30x90 where the façade is brick and W18x40 where the outer façade is the aluminum panel curtain wall system. The floor to floor height of each story two through seven is 14'-8" tall while the floor to floor height for the first floor is 15'-4" in height. The bracing system for the lateral load resistance consists of several types of bracings on each story comprised of HSS members, including chevron braces, knee braces, and cross braces.

#### **Floor System**

The floor system of the St. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient Facility is a two-way slab system comprised of a 4" light weight concrete slab on 2" – 20 gage galvanized composite decking with 5" long  $\frac{3}{4}$ " diameter shear studs and a 6x6-W2.1xW2.1 welded wire fabric reinforcement system. The majority of the beams for the floor framing are 21" in depth with a typical span of 34'. On the first two floors, the new addition's floor systems are connected to the existing floor slabs as well as the masonry walls by  $\frac{1}{2}$ " diameter Hilti adhesive anchors spaced at 24" on center, with a minimum embedment of  $4\frac{1}{2}$ ".

#### Roofing

The roofing system is a flat roof which consists of structural steel members similar to that of the floor system. The area where the HVAC units rest has a slab of  $4\frac{1}{2}$ " light weight concrete on 2"- 20 gage galvanized composite decking with 6x6-W2.1xW2.1 welded wire fabric reinforcement. While the remainder of the roof area, including the penthouse roof, is constructed of  $1\frac{1}{2}$ "-20 gage galvanized wide ribbed steel roof deck.

# **Codes**

Building Design Codes Ohio Building Code, 2005 International Building Code, 2003

Reinforced Concrete American Concrete Institute Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318, Latest Edition) Specifications for Structural Concrete (ACI 301, Latest Edition)

#### Masonry

American Concrete Institute

Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ACI 530, Latest Edition) Specifications for Masonry Structures (ACI 530.1, Latest, Edition)

### Structural Steel

American Institute of Steel Construction (1989 Edition, As Revised)

#### Open Web Steel Joists

Steel Joist Institute Standard Specifications and Load Tables for Open Web Joists, K-Series or LH-Series

#### Metal Decking

Steel Deck Institute

Steel Roof Deck Specifications and Load Tables (Latest Edition)

# **Material Strengths**

### Concrete

Josh Behun

Minimum Design Compression Strength (F'c) Required at 28 Days	
Grout for Auger Piles	4000 psi
Foundations and Concrete Fill	3000 psi
Walls	4000 psi
Slabs on Grade and Elevated Floor Slabs	4000 psi
Columns, Beams, Elevated Slabs	
and Tilt-Up Wall Panels	5000 psi
Masonry Grout.	3000 psi
Maximum Water to Cementitious Materials Ratio:	
Foundations and Concrete Fill	0.60
Walls	0.45
Slabs on Grade and Elevated Floor Slabs	0.45
Reinforcement	
Deformed Bars (Grade 60)	ASTM A615
Welded Wire Fabric	ASTM A185
Headed Shear Studs	ASTM A108,
	Grade 1015 or 1020
	Cold Finished Carbon Steel
Structural Steel	
Structural Shapes	ASTM A572, Grade 50
Steel Tubes.	ASTM A500, Grade B
Steel Pipe	ASTM A53, Grade E or S
Angles and Plates	ASTM A36
Galvanized Structural Steel	
Structural Shapes and Rods	ASTM A123
Bolts, Fasteners, and Hardware	ASTM A153

# **Design Criteria**

### Dead Loads

### Live Loads

Roof	. 30 psf
Public Areas	100 psf
Lobbies	. 100 psf
First Floor Corridors	100 psf
Corridors above First Floor	80 psf
Patient Rooms	60 psf
Light Storage	. 125 psf
Catwalks	. 25 psf
Mechanical	175 psf
Stairs	100 psf

# **Seismic Analysis**

Josh Behun

**Structural Option** 

The seismic analysis for the hospital was determined using the base shear calculations derived from the equivalent lateral force procedure from ASCE-05. The original seismic calculations had been done breaking the building into 3 separate entities, while the calculation done for this report considered the entire building as a whole. The results of the calculation for the building as a whole fell within an average range of the initial separated results.

For other seismic calculation considerations the hospital is located at:

Latitude: 40°59'35" Longitude: -80° 39' 35"

#### **Design** Properties

Velocity – Related Acceleration (SS)	. 0.1518
Peak Acceleration (S1)	0.0558
Seismic Hazard Exposure Group	. III
Seismic Performance Category	. C
Basic Structural System.	Steel Frame
Seismic Importance Factor (IE)	. 1.5
Response Modification Factor (R)	. 5
Deflection Amplification Factor (CD)	. 4.5
Analysis Procedure	. Equivalent Lateral Force
SDS	. 0.152
SD1	. 0.056
Site Class	. D
Basic Seismic Force Resisting System	Concentric Steel Braced
	Frames & Existing Masonry
	Shear Walls
Design Base Shear	Per Area
Patient Towers	810 kips
Surgical Wing	385 kips
Diagnostic Wing and Addition	635 kips

# Wind Analysis

Josh Behun

The wind loading for the hospital addition as well its existing structure was determined using Method 2 from ASCE-05. The majority of the calculations are based upon the building properties listed below, plus numerous tables and charts included within the ASCE manual. In order to ease the calculations involved, the shape of the seven story tower addition was normalized from its original form to a standard rectangular shape, disregarding the curvilinear figure of the northern wall and all indentations on the western side of the patient tower. Being that the building is constructed using steel framing, the analysis performed was done so considering the building to be a flexible frame. The connections between the tower addition and the existing building contain expansion joints that include Teflon slide bearings, allowing the buildings to react to lateral loading as separate identities. In this analysis, since the tower addition will absorb the largest amount of lateral wind forces, it was the main area of focus.

#### **Design Properties**

Velocity	90 mph
Wind Importance Factor (IW) 1	1.15
Exposure Category	С
Enclosure Classification	Enclosed
Building Classification 1	1-2
Internal Pressure Coefficient (GCPI)	± 0.25
Wind Design Pressure – P (Windward) 2	25 psf
Wind Design Pressure – P (Leeward)	30 psf

# Flexible Building Properties for Exposure C

#### Table 6-2 ASCE-07

Exposure	α	z <sub>g</sub> (ft)	^ a	^ b	ā	$\overline{b}$	c	ℓ (ft)	Ē	z <sub>min</sub> (ft)*
в	7.0	1200	1/7	0.84	1/4.0	0.45	0.30	320	1/3.0	30
C	9.5	900	1/9.5	1.00	1/6.5	0.65	0.20	500	1/5.0	15
D	11.5	700	1/11.5	1.07	1/9.0	0.80	0.15	650	1/8.0	7

Flexible Building Properties					
	N-S	E-W			
В	87'	318'			
L	318'	87'			
nl	0.8197	0.8197			
h	104'	104'			
$h_{\min} = 0.6h$	62.4	62.4'			
g <sub>R</sub>	4.142	4.142			
$g_Q \& g_v$	3.40	3.40			
R <sub>n</sub>	0.0513	0.0513			
Iz	0.147	0.147			
Vz	94.60	94.60			
R <sub>h</sub>	4.15	4.15			
ηh	4.15	4.15			
β	5%	5%			

Design Properties for Flexible Building Frames

	N-S	E-W
	Direction	Direction
ηL	11.6	42.43
R <sub>L</sub>	0.0825	0.0234
ηΒ	12.67	3.47
R <sub>B</sub>	0.0758	0.267
Q	0.81	0.871
R	0.43	0.784
Gf	0.91	1.05

# **Lateral Analysis Conclusions**

Based on the analysis performed for the lateral forces on the hospital, the seismic loading seems to govern the design of the building and its lateral bracing system. The base shear and the overturning moments were the main sources of concern with the lateral loadings, in each situation the seismic analysis yielded a larger result. However, the resulting wind pressures in the North-South direction provided a base shear that was quite close to the total seismic base shear, which with a slight variation to the calculations may produce a wind loading that could overtake the control of the building's lateral bracing design.

While calculating the seismic forces the design engineer seemed to have separated the building into three distinct segments, whereas with these calculations the building was considered as a whole entity. Using smaller segments of the building would likely lead to a larger seismic loading. While different analysis methods were utilized, and thus different results were obtained, the seismic loading remained the controlling factor in the building's design.

# **Snow Loads**

The snow load for the hospital was determined using the procedures and chats supplied by the ASCE-05 manual. All of the roofs of the hospital are flat, thus aside from the actual weight of snow accumulation, there is also an amount of snow buildup due to wind blown snow drifts which add significant snow mass. The two instances that need to be accounted for are windward snow drifts that are blown up against the wall of a taller portion of the building (such as pictured below) and leeward snow drifts that are blown off of the roof of a taller section of the building.

#### **Design Properties**

Base Ground Snow Load (Pg)	30 psf
Flat Roof Snow Load (Pf)	21 psf
Snow Drift Load Per Code	-
Snow Exposure Factor (Ce)	1.0
Snow Load Importance Factor (Is)	1.2
Thermal Factor (Ct)	1.0

#### ASCE-07 Figure 7-8 Configuration of Snow Drifts on Lower Roofs



# Appendix

# Appendix A - Typical Tower Addition Framing Plans

Josh Behun

**Structural Option** 





# Appendix B – Building Weight

Josh Behun Tech 1 Evilding Weight  
Floor Load mass 1  
- Concrete Slabs  

$$\frac{5}{12}(10^{15}/_{15}) = 46 \text{ psf} + 2 \text{ pst deck} = 48 \text{ psf}$$
  
- Steel Framing (typical bay)  
 $9_{0.pif(24') + 4(44 \text{ psf})(34') + 2(78 \text{ plf})(24.33')}$   
 $34'(29.33')$   
= 13.7 psf - tose 14 psf to account for connections  
- Steel Columns (per typical bay)  
(4) 40<sup>15</sup>/<sub>2</sub> (14.67) = 2350 = 2.5 pst  
 $34'(29.33)$   
- Partitions = 20 pst  
- MEP/ collateral = 20 psf  
(assumed)  
 $15^{15}$  Floor  
= 104.5 psf (119.700 ft<sup>2</sup>) = 12,510 K  
2nd Floor  
= 104.5 psf (32.870 ft<sup>2</sup>) = 3,435 K  
Uth - 7th Floors  
= 4 (104.5 psf) (23,525 ft<sup>2</sup>) = 9,835 K  
Total Building Lood  
= Floors + Roof + Walls  
= 36000 K

# Josh BehunSt. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient FacilityStructural OptionBoardman, OhioDr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.E

Building Weight Josh Behun Tech 1 page 2 Rost Load Concrete slab 5' (110 pet) [(180)(41) + 1/2 (140)(12)] = 378 " - Decking = 2 psf - Rubber Membrane = 1 psf (3psf) (23,525 f+2) = 71 K - Steel Framing (typical roof bay under Mech Equipment) 68 pif (34') + 4 (44 pif)(34') + 2 (94 pif)(29.33) 34' (29.33') = 13.8 - 14 psf (180)(41) + 1/2 (140)(12) = 115 K - Steel Framing (typical roof bay under decking) 26 pif (34) + 2 (50 pif ) 29.33 + 5 (11 pif) 34' - open web joists K - series 34 (29.33) 24 K = 5.7 + 6 pst (23,525 - 8220) = 92K Roof total 378+71+115+92 = 656K Wall Load - Windows = 10 pst [6'(225') 6 + 6'(210') 6 + 4'(9')80 +(12(32)+32(64)+34(24)+18(8)] = 220 K - Allum: num = 15 psf (255(105) - 6'(210')6) + (105(50) - 16(6)) + (105)(10) + 72(21) ] = 405 K - Brick = 39 psf [(105(216) - 6(225)6) + 70(80) + 46(110) + (34)(106) + (68)(34) + (32)(75) + (102)(13) + 40(105) + 60 (105) + 32 (60) + 32 (135) 7 = 2400 K Exterior Wall total 220 + 405 + 2400 = 3025 K

Josh Behun	St. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient Facility	Tech Report 1
Structural Option	Boardman, Ohio	November 26, 2007
Dr. Linda Hanagan, F	P.E.	

Appendix C- Seismic Analysis Josh Behun Tech 1 Seismic Page 1 Sr = 0.1518 S. = 0.0558 Site Class C Fo = 1.2 Fy = 1.65  $S_{m_5} = F_a(s_5) = 1.2(0.1518) = 0.18216$ Sm, = Fy (5,) = 1.65 (0.0558) = 0.09207 505 = 2 5m5 = 2 (0.18216) = 0.12144 5D, = 2/3 5m, = 2/3 (0.09207) = 0.06138 from table 12.8-1 50, 40.1 CU=1.7 Concentrically Braced Frame & Existing Masonry shear Wall from table 12.8-2 C+ = 0.02 ×= 0.75 Ta = C+ (height) × = 0.02(118') .75 = 0.716 period = T = CuTa = 1.7 (0.716) = 1.22 frequency = 1 .22 LI .: Flexible from table 22.15 R=5 I=1.5 TL= 12 5 option I  $\frac{S_{D_{5}}}{(R_{1})} = \frac{0.12144}{(5/1.5)} = 0.03643$ 

# Josh BehunSt. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient FacilityTech Report 1Structural OptionBoardman, OhioNovember 26, 2007Dr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.Structural OptionNovember 26, 2007

Josh Behrn Tech 1 Seismic Page 2 option 2  $\frac{5D}{(T(R))} = \frac{0.06138}{(1.22(5))} = 0.01569 + governs}$  (T(R)) = (1.22(5)) 1.5option 3  $\frac{S_{D,}(T_{L})}{T^{2}(R/_{I})} = \frac{0.06138(12)}{1.22^{2}(5/1.5)} = 0.14846$ base shear V=CSW = 0.01509 (36000) = 544 K

	Lateral Seismic Force Distribution							
Level	Weight (kips)	Story Height h (ft)	Exponent k	Wx*hx^k (kips)	Cvx	Story Force Fx (kips)	Vx (kips)	Mx (ft-kips)
1	12,510	15.33	1.36	512383	0.0896	48.7	48.7	747
2	6,545	30	1.36	668030	0.1168	63.5	112.2	1905
3	3,435	44.67	1.36	602488	0.1053	57.3	169.5	2560
4	2,460	59.33	1.36	634730	0.1109	60.3	229.8	3580
5	2,460	74	1.36	857215	0.1498	81.5	311.3	6030
6	2,460	88.67	1.36	1096255	0.1916	104.3	415.6	9250
7	2,460	103.33	1.36	1349842	0.2359	128.3	543.9	13257
Sum	32330	104		5720943	1.0	V = 544 K		M = 37330 Ft-K

Josh Behun Tech 1 Wind age 1  
Wind Speed = 90 mph  
I = 1.15  
Catagory C  
Flexible Structure  

$$N_1 = fraquency$$
  
 $= \frac{1}{1.22} = 0.81967$   
 $gr = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.142$   
 $gr = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.142$   
 $gr = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.142$   
 $gr = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.142$   
 $gr = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.142$   
 $gr = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.142$   
 $\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.15$   
 $\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} + \sqrt{a \ln (3600(n))} = 4.15$   
 $N_1 = n_1 L^2 = 0.81967(568) = 4.92$   
 $\overline{N_2} = \sqrt{a \ln (5n)} = 4.6((.81967)(100)) = 4.15$   
 $N_2 = \sqrt{a} + \frac{\sqrt{a} \ln (n E^3)}{\sqrt{2}} = 4.6((.81967)(318)) = 10.67$   
 $R_1 = n_2 = 15.4(n L = 15.4(.81967)(318)) = 10.67$   
 $R_2 = n_2 = \frac{15.4(n L}{\sqrt{2}} = 15.4(.81967)(312) = 11.6$   
Note: equation A for Rg contains a symbol E which has been determined to be a mistable in the cade.  
Both ASCE ba and bas contain fyeds in this equation.

# Josh BehunSt. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient FacilityStructural OptionBoardman, OhioDr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.

Tech Report 1 November 26, 2007

Josh Behun Tech 1 Wind page 2  

$$R_{L} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2n} (1 - e^{-2n})$$
  
 $= \frac{1}{n!.6} - \frac{1}{2(n.6)^{2}} (1 - e^{-2(n.6)}) = 0.0825$   
 $R_{g} = \frac{1}{1.6} - \frac{1}{2(n.6)^{2}} (1 - e^{-2(n.6)}) = 0.0158$   
 $Q = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + .65} (\frac{218}{568})^{1.65}} = 0.81$   
 $R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + .65} (\frac{218}{568})^{1.65}} = 0.81$   
 $R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + .65} (\frac{218}{568})^{1.65}} = 0.81$   
 $R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} R_{N}R_{N}R_{R}} (0.53 + 0.47 (0.0825)) = 0.43$   
 $R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} (0.0515) 4.15(0.0758)(0.553 + 0.47(0.0825)) = 0.43}$   
 $R_{N} = 7.47 N_{1} = 7.47 (4.92) (1 + 10.5(14.32))^{5/3} = 0.0513$   
 $Iz = c (\frac{15}{2})^{N_{0}} = 0.2 (\frac{10}{(224)})^{N_{0}} = 0.147$   
 $G_{F} = 0.935 [\frac{1 + 1.7(21)}{1 + 1.7} \frac{3.42(0.81)^{2} + 4.142^{2}(0.43)^{2}}{1 + 1.7(3.4)(0.147)}]$   
 $= 0.91$ 

# Josh BehunSt. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient FacilityStructural OptionBoardman, OhioDr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.

Tech Report 1 November 26, 2007

Wind page 3 Josh Behun Tech 1 E-W RB ng= 4.6 (0.81967) (87) = 3.47 94.6  $R_{B} = \frac{1}{3.47} - \frac{1}{2(3.47)^{2}} (1 - e^{-2(3.47)}) = 0.267$ RL N= 15.4 (0.81967)(318) = 42.43 94.6  $R_{L} = \frac{1}{42.43} - \frac{1}{2(42.43)^2} (1 - e^{-2(42.43)}) = 0.0234$  $Q = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+.63}} \left( \frac{97+104}{540} \right) \cdot \frac{63}{5} = 0.871$  $R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{0.05}} (0.0513)(4.15)(0.267)(0.53 + 0.47 (0.0234))$ = 0.784  $G_{f} = 0.925 \left[ \frac{1 + 1.7(0.147) \sqrt{3.4^{2}(0.871)^{2} + 4.142^{2}(0.784)^{2}}}{1 + 1.7(3.4)(0.147)} \right]$ = 1.05

# Josh BehunSt. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient Facility<br/>Boardman, OhioTech Report 1<br/>November 26, 2007Dr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.

Josh Behun Tech 1 Wind agen 4
pressure
P= q Gq Cp - q; (± GCp;) Enclosed Building GCD: = + Q D
22=0.00256 Kz Kzt Kd V2I Cp= 0.8 windward
= 0.00256 (K2)(1)(0.85) 902(1.15) -0.5 leeward
N-5 = 20.27 Kz
leeward
$P = 2r G_{f}C_{p} - 2r (-GC_{p})$
= 20.23(0.91)(-0.5) - (20.23)(-0.18)
windward
$h = \delta z \ ctcb - \delta : (QCb;)$
= 22(0.91)(0.8) - 20.23(0.18)
E-W
P = 20.23(1.05)(-0.5) = 20.23(-0.18) $= -6.98$
windward
P=07(105)(00)
(-1.05/(0.8) - 20.23(0.18)

# Josh BehunSt. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient FacilityTech Report 1Structural OptionBoardman, OhioNovember 26, 2007Dr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.Structural OptionNovember 26, 2007



# Wind Pressure

North – South Wind Pressures								
Height (ft)	Kz	qz	Windward Pressure (psf)	Leeward Pressure (psf)	Total			
0-15	0.85	17.23	8.90	-5.56	14.46			
20	0.9	18.24	9.64	-5.56	15.20			
25	0.94	19.05	10.23	-5.56	15.79			
30	0.98	19.87	10.82	-5.56	16.38			
40	1.04	21.08	11.70	-5.56	17.26			
50	1.09	22.09	12.44	-5.56	18.00			
60	1.13	22.91	13.03	-5.56	18.60			
70	1.17	23.72	13.63	-5.56	19.19			
80	1.21	24.53	14.21	-5.56	19.78			
90	1.24	25.14	14.66	-5.56	20.22			
110	1.26	25.54	14.95	-5.56	20.51			
120	1.31	26.55	15.69	-5.56	21.25			

North – South Wind Loading								
Floor	Height (ft)	Tributary Height (ft)	Windward Pressure (psf)	Leeward Pressure (psf)	Total (psf)	Story Force (k)	Total Shear (k)	Overturning Moment (ft-k)
Ground	0	0	0	0	0	0	V = 532	M = 31590
2	15.33	15	9.64	-5.56	15.20	70.7	532.1	1084
3	30	14.67	10.53	-5.56	15.79	62.1	461.4	1863
4	44.67	14.67	11.70	-5.56	17.26	82.2	399.3	3672
5	59.33	14.67	12.74	-5.56	18.30	85.4	317.1	5067
6	74	14.67	13.63	-5.56	19.19	91.1	231.7	6741
7	88.67	14.67	14.21	-5.56	19.77	93.1	140.6	8255
Roof	103.33	7.33	14.95	-5.56	20.51	47.5	47.5	4908

East – West Wind Pressures								
Height (ft)	Kz	qz	Windward Pressure (psf)	Leeward Pressure (psf)	Total			
0-15	0.85	17.23	10.83	-6.98	16.39			
20	0.9	18.24	11.6	-6.98	17.24			
25	0.94	19.05	12.36 -6.98		17.92			
30	0.98	19.87	13.05	13.05 -6.98				
40	1.04	21.08	14.07 -6.98		19.63			
50	1.09	22.09	14.91	-6.98	20.47			
60	1.13	22.91	15.60	-6.98	21.16			
70	1.17	23.72	16.28	-6.98	21.84			
80	1.21	24.53	16.96	-6.98	22.52			
90	1.24	25.14	17.48	-6.98	23.04			
110	1.26	25.54	17.81 -6.98		23.37			
120	1.31	26.55	18.66	-6.98	24.22			

East – West								
Floor	Height (ft)	Tributary Height (ft)	Windward Pressure (psf)	Leeward Pressure (psf)	Total (psf)	Story Force (k)	Total Shear (k)	Overturning Moment (ft-k)
Ground	0	0	0	0	0	0	V = 175	M = 10528
2	15.33	15	11.60	-6.98	18.58	18.1	175.1	278
3	30	14.67	12.71	-6.98	19.69	25.1	157.6	753
4	44.67	14.67	14.07	-6.98	21.05	27.4	132.5	1224
5	59.33	14.67	15.26	-6.98	22.24	28.4	105.1	1685
6	74	14.67	16.28	-6.98	23.26	30.2	76.7	2235
7	88.67	14.67	16.96	-6.98	23.94	30.8	46.5	2731
Roof	103.33	7.33	17.81	-6.98	24.79	15.7	15.7	1622

### Appendix E – Snow Loads



# Josh BehunSt. Elizabeth Hospital Inpatient FacilityTech Report 1Structural OptionBoardman, OhioNovember 26, 2007Dr. Linda Hanagan, P.E.Structural OptionNovember 26, 2007

Josh Behun Tech 1 Snow Loads page 2 Drift C-D hc= 14' hc/hb = 14/17 = 12 < 30 ok 10 upper = 63' 10 lower = 150' leeward drift ha= ,43 (63) "3 (40) 14-1.5 = 2.8 + governs windward drift ha= .75 [.43 (105) 1/3 (40) 1/4 - 1.5] = 2.7  $W = 4 h_d = 4(2.8) = 11.2$ Pmax = & (ha + hb) = 17.9 (2.7 + 1.17) = 69.27 63' -141 2.71 ---11.2' 150'

## Appendix F – Spot Checks Composite Beam Check



Josh Behrn Tech 1 Beam Spot check 411 2 Qn = Fy As = 50 (13) = 650 K 2" 9. regid = EQA = 650 = 2.17 .85fic b 88(4)(.85) W21 X44 y2= 6- 2.17 = 4.92 for PNA @ TFL ZQn = 649 2 650 ok Mallow = 746 > MU = 292 = 325 ok IB= 2370 :04 @ TEL 42=5"  $\Delta max = \frac{5(3.015)(34)^4(1728)}{384(2900)(2370)} = .8815$  $\frac{l}{450} = \frac{34(12)}{450} = .907 \qquad \Delta \max < \frac{l}{450} = .8815 < .907$ ok USE WOIX44 composit beam

### Column Check



### **Lateral Bracing Check**

